

# $L^p$ bounds for spectral projectors

Daniel Tataru

University of California, Berkeley

Toronto, September 2012

This is joint work with Herbert Koch and Hart Smith

## Estimates in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Main resolvent estimate:

$$\|(\Delta + \lambda^2 \pm i0)^{-1} f\|_{L^{p_d}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{2}{d+1}} \|f\|_{L^{p'_d}}$$

Proof by complex interpolation:

$$(\Delta + \lambda^2 \pm i0)^{i\sigma} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$$

$$(\Delta + \lambda^2 \pm i0)^{-\frac{d+1}{2} + i\sigma} : L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$$

Kernel decay for  $(\Delta + \lambda^2 \pm i0)^{-1}$ :  $|x|^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}$ .

$L^2 \rightarrow L^{p_d}$  formulation:

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_d}} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2(d+1)}} (\lambda^{-1} \|(\Delta + \lambda^2)u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2})$$

Spectral projector version:

$$\|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]} u\|_{L^{p_d}} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2(d+1)}} \|u\|_{L^2}$$

# Estimates in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Critical exponent:

$$p_d = \frac{2(d+1)}{d-1}$$

Full range of  $p$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]} u\|_{L^p(M)} &\lesssim \lambda^{d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, & p_d \leq p \leq \infty, \\ \|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]} u\|_{L^p(M)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, & 2 \leq p \leq p_d, \end{aligned}$$

Counterexamples:

- $p_d < p \leq \infty$ :  $\hat{u}$  bump in the annulus  $|\xi| \in [\lambda, \lambda + 1]$
- $2 \leq p \leq p_d$ :  $\hat{u}$  bump in a rectangle  $1 \times \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  (Knapp counterexample)  
also all intermediate scales

# Compact manifolds

$(M, g)$  compact Riemannian manifold.

- $g$  smooth: Sogge ..... Fourier Integral Operator parametrix, oscillatory integrals
- $g \in C^{1,1}$ : Smith .... Wave packet parametrix
- $g \in C^s, 0 < s < 2$ : present talk ... estimates with losses.

Paradifferential calculus:

$$\Delta_g u_\lambda = \Delta_{g_{<\lambda^\sigma}} u_\lambda + \text{error}$$

- $C^2$  scale:  $\delta x = \lambda^{\frac{s-2}{s+2}}, \sigma = \frac{2}{s+2}$ , wave packet parametrix
- $C^1$  scale:  $\delta x = \lambda^{s-1}, \sigma = 1$ , energy propagation

# $C^1$ metrics

Scales:

- $C^2$  scale:  $\delta x = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $\sigma = \frac{2}{3}$
- wave packet size:  $\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ , angle:  $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$

Enemies:

	angle	width	height	period	counterexample for
Wide	1	$\lambda^{-1}$	$\lambda^{-1}$	1	$p \geq \frac{2(d+2)}{d-1}$
Narrow	$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$	$\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}$	$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$	$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$	$p \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d-1}$
Intermediate	$k\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$	$k\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}$	$k^{-1}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$	$k\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$	$p = \frac{2(d+2)}{d-1}$

## Conjecture

The following bounds hold for  $C^1$  metrics:

$$\|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]} u\|_{L^p(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \frac{2(d+2)}{d-1} \leq p \leq \infty,$$
$$\|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]} u\|_{L^p(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{2(d-1)}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \frac{2(d+2)}{d-1}.$$

# Our result: $d = 2$

## Theorem

*The following bounds hold for  $C^1$  metrics in dimension  $d = 2$ :*

$$\|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}u\|_{L^p(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{2(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad 8 < p \leq \infty,$$

$$\|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}u\|_{L^p(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad 2 \leq p < 8.$$

$$\|P_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}u\|_{L^8(M)} \lesssim (\log \lambda)^3 \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}$$

- partial results for  $d \geq 3$
- partial results for  $d = 2$  in earlier paper
- log loss due to dyadic summations
- $p = 8$ : enemies at all scales

## Wave packets and bushes

Wave packets decomposition on  $C^2$  scale  $\delta t = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$

$$u = \sum a_T u_T$$

- wave packet scales:  $\delta x = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $\delta \xi = \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ,  $\delta \theta = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$
- Fourier coefficients  $a_T$  are nonconstant due to truncation errors
- dyadic decomposition with respect to size of  $a_T$
- dyadic decomposition w.r. to time intervals on which  $\|a'_T\| \ll a_T$ .

$k$ - Bushes ( of packets with comparable  $a_T$ ):

$$\sum \chi_T \approx k$$

- Coherence time:  $k^{-1} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  (if focused)
- Minimal refocusing time  $k \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  (worst case scenario)

## Proof ideas:

**Step 1:**  $k$ -bush counting.

- Count  $\delta t = k^{-1}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  time slices containing  $k$ -bushes
- Count restricted to time intervals  $\delta t = k\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$
- No room for errors

**Step 1:**  $k$ -bush estimates.

- need to estimate all  $k$ -bushes in a  $\delta t = k^{-1}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  time slice
- bushes need not be focused
- overlapping can occur for bushes in different directions
- packets can belong to multiple bushes
- No room for errors

## Bush counting

**Main idea:** Bushes on different slices are “almost orthogonal”.  
Assuming  $a_T = 1$  define projectors

$$P_j = k^{-1} \sum u_T \langle \sum u_T, \cdot \rangle$$

Then

$$\|P_j S(t_j, t_l) P_l\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim k^{-1} \max\{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} |t_j - t_l|^{-1}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} |t_j - t_l|\}$$

Not enough for Cotlar's lemma.

a) Short time  $|t_j - t_l| < \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  (below  $C^2$  scale)

- can use  $C^2$  parametrix
- count the number of packets though two bushes

b) Long time  $|t_j - t_l| > \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  (above  $C^2$  scale)

- cannot use  $C^2$  parametrix
- use instead generalized coherent packets with  $\delta x = |t_i - t_j|^2$ ,  
 $\delta \xi = |t_i - t_j| \lambda$ .

## $L^8$ estimates for $k$ -bushes

**Main difficulty:**  $k$ -bushes are not necessarily disjoint or focused.

**Main idea:** For  $u = \sum u_T$  decompose with respect to angles

$$u^2 = \sum_{\angle(T,S) > k\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}} u_S u_T + \sum_{\angle(T,S) < k\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}} u_S u_T$$

a) Large angle interactions: use bilinear  $L^2$  bound,

$$\|uv\|_{L^2} \lesssim \angle(u, v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}$$

and interpolate with the  $L^\infty$  bound (given by  $k$ ).

b) Small angle interactions: by orthogonality it suffices to fix the position and direction. Then we are left with focused isolated bushes. For these use the  $L^6$  Strichartz and interpolate with the  $L^\infty$  bound (again given by  $k$ ).